Abstract: Customer engagement (CE) has become an exciting new topic for many researchers. This paper investigates the possibilities of perceived value, brand image, corporate reputation and customer satisfaction in enhancing CE, which leads to improved customer loyalty. An understanding of the influence of CE is explored in the context of restaurant service in Vietnam. A survey was conducted in Vietnam, respondents have used food services at restaurants. Data analysis was conducted using SPSS 21 and AMOS 21, and using the Structural Equation modeling (SEM). The results demonstrated that almost proposed factors positively affect CE and the direct influences of perceived value and customer satisfaction on customer engagement were comparable. The findings also revealed that the influence of customer satisfaction on customer engagement was the highest, whereas corporate reputation did not moderate the relationship between perceived value and customer engagement. A research’s important result is archiving the effect of CE on customer loyalty. Limitation should be noted that the study was conducted with Vietnamese customers. By translating English items into Vietnamese, it is feasible that the meaning of some manifestations may have been unintentionally transformed. It is also achievable that there are the differences interpreting terms from one language in another language.
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INTRODUCTION

CE is emerging in the marketing literature with preliminary research indicating that it has an important construct that not only reflects consumers’ interactive experience and the relationship within brand communities [1] but also is conducive to a firm’s financial value [2, 3], etc. Correspondingly, it is suggested that one of the important strategic imperative referring customer loyalty is CE [4]. Therefore, social media channel have become extremely important for CE process and the development of customer loyalty which is recognized as important tools for organizations seeking to build long-term sustainable business relationships and provoking future consumption [3, 1, 5]. Even though, the fact that explored antecedents and consequences of many types of research is quite nebulous and is lack consensus, still there are many constructs which have an influence on CE such as brand image, corporate reputation, perceived value, satisfaction, involvement & community value, major group its antecedents are reviewed such as loyalty, trust, WOM, repurchase intention, brand usage intention & perception value. Notwithstanding, I propose perceived value [6, 7] corporate reputation [8], brand image [7], and satisfaction [8] would be appropriate to explain the antecedents of CE. Customer loyalty is a comprehensive construct that presents the consequence of CE [9, 10].

While Dijkmans et al., [11] debated that with the aim of acquiring engaged consumers, a company's online activities are getting benefits for corporate reputation, in the meantime, online reputation management can be acknowledged as “the process of positioning, monitoring, measuring, talking, and listening as the organization engages in a transparent and ethical dialogue with its various on-line stakeholders” [12]. Alongside with it, brands already have a high reputation or high levels of brand equity (such as a brand image) have intention to engender higher rank of positive CE [13-15]. Typically, brands with higher level of equity also conduct stronger brand commitment and brand attachment, which can possibly stimulate people to engage [7].

Brand image that helps people distinguish everything from the business side, in order to be clearly defined, company can be beneficial from brand image in the long term [16] and regarding the customer’s perception of either the reason or rational platform or through more sentiments towards a specific brand [17]. The research of Dong, Evans, and Zou [18] also...
supported the later notion, who argues that so as to engage the customer in service recovery activities, firstly, consumer role clarity, cognitive value, and customer satisfaction should effectively be improved.

According to the definition of relationship marketing literature, customer loyalty is viewed as one of the key element marketing outcomes and maintaining customers engaged is essential strategically to raise the number of loyal customers, since loyal customers contribute to the value creation process in most organizations [1, 4]. By encouraging CE activities on social networking channels, companies can create their own advantages from enhancing brand loyalty [19], stimulating sales [20]. Brodie et al., [1] and Bowden [4] insist that CE is essential strategically to rise the number of loyal customers. Similarly, CE’s influence on customer loyalty has been examined and put under discussion using qualitative and quantitative methods on virtual brand community, utilitarian and hedonic brand [19, 21] and its identification as a critical component of relationship marketing’s extended domain [1, 4]. Nonetheless, the accurate interpretation of the customer involvement constructs as a consequence of customer satisfaction, perceived value and brand associations and as an antecedent leading customer loyalty has not been proposed to test in same an integrated framework model.

Thus, this paper promotes a new integrated conceptual framework, looking for the role of customer involvement through social media in creating customer loyalty and examining the casual communication among CE with perceived value, satisfaction, brand image, corporation reputation, and loyalty. The result of this research will assist companies in identifying that these factors are interactions. The study tries to achieve better insights into these marketing constructs. Though, first of all, it is necessary to explore CE concepts.

LITERATURE REVIEW
Customer engagement

Depth analysis of the research of concept of CE and stimulating researchers to focus on the development of conceptual understanding and identifying the characteristics of the engagement construct [22] is necessary. In during past time, therefore there are several studies have performed exploring CE concepts which can be classified into main five types.

First of all, in many studies of many scholars around the world, CE has been investigated as a novel context in the consumer management field and in the meanwhile, it is suggested that engagement is "Customers' behavioral manifestations". In particular, Verleye et al., [23] defined it as “customers’ behavioral manifestations toward a firm, after and beyond purchase” (p. 69).

Another structure to more understanding consumer engagement, in the current literate, consumer engagement is under a view as a psychological state that demonstrates a customer’s typical psychological state formed by the specific interactive experience of individual with a focal engagement object (e.g. a brand) [1, 19, 5]. This conceptual model reduplicated in some researches of authors such as Brodie et al., [1] Chan et al., [24]; So et al., [25] & Altschwager [26].

Thirdly, any recent research papers illustrate that two behavioral combines with psychological structures, together, has identified the CE. Particularly, So et al., [25] displayed that “CE as a higher-order construct comprising five first-order factors, including enthusiasm (or vigor), attention, absorption, interaction, and identification”.

Fourth, several researchers have characterized engagement as a motivational state [27, 1, 28-30]. For example, Wadhwa et al., [31] believe that motivation is a state under activated within a person that leads to targeted behavior. Furthermore, Brodie et al., [1] highlight that the nature of the motivational state dimensions of CE fluctuates.

Finally, considering CE as a process, significant difference from the above authors, Yang, Ho, Sung [32] argued that “CE can be defined as a process whereby the customer actively participates in an activity held by or related to service providers, and then shares his or her knowledge or expectations regarding this activity with other customers”.

The division of the CE concepts into five types show that in different contexts customers focus their concern on divergent objectives, at the same time, it displays that two approaches are conducted to consider the relationship between customer and firm is customer-centric or firm-centric. That means, the perception of engagement may diversify depending on actors, i.e., subjects (e.g. customers, students, employees) and objects (e.g. brand, service, course, mobile application) of engagement [1].

Given in this study, CE is defined as an attitude of customer toward a specific brand by combining of physical and emotional aspects and “engagement of the mind” of cognition, not just motivation to promote behavioral intention.

Perceived value displays a “consumer’s overall assessment of the utility of a product/service based on perceptions of what is received and what is given” [33], thus reflecting a specific rate, or trade-off, between cognitive quality and price (i.e. a value-for-money conception [34, 35]. Engagement is associated with a value perception by consumers, which integrate the difference between successful and failing within online communities [21, 36]. More importantly, it has revealed
Across studies that perceived value is also one of the antecedent factors of CE that means the perceived value has the intention to drive CE [1]. Moreover, while Hollebeek & Chen [6] suggested that perceived value influence engagement toward a brand, Verma et al., [37] indicated that the interaction of social and brand values positively have an impact on CE with those who have a social network fan page. When analyzing interrelationships among airline passenger loyalty and marketing constructs, Hapsari and Dean [7] demonstrated that CE is influenced by customer perceived value. By the point that customers receive positive value from a service, they may be stimulated to level up their engagement with the service provider, either to gain more value in future trading or for psychological reasons [19].

An engaged customer’s positive experience with the organization is likely to increase her perception of the derived value [38]. An individual is highly engaged he/she will derive intrinsic and extrinsic value from this focus on the engagement [5]. Accordingly, the more engaged an individual is in approaching a target (e.g. brand), the more value can be obtained [21]. Therefore, the first hypothesis is proposed:

**H1 Perceived value will have a positive impact on CE**

While perceived value is illustrated as the comparison between the expense (time, money and energy) given and the benefits gained by the customers [39, 40] suggested that perceived value is a construct that captures any benefit-sacrifice discrepancy same as disconfirmation does for diversity between expectations and cognitive act. In service context, Patterson and Spreng [41] notice that the positive and direct antecedents of customer satisfaction create customer’s psychological value. A perceived value considerably relate to satisfaction [42] and is specific input to satisfaction Rust and Oliver [43]. Moreover, McDougall and Levesque [44] record that perceived value is the most forceful antecedent of customer satisfaction in an experimental study on restaurants, auto repair, hairstyling and dental services. After purchasing, customer perceived value will determine the customer’s satisfaction [45]. Perceived value is an important factor in gaining a competitive advantage and is considered to be an indicative predictor of customer satisfaction [46]. In a study on the Taiwanese hotel industry [47] demonstrate that customers perceptions of the value obtained considerably affected customer’ satisfaction. According to Fornell et al., [48] and Cronin et al., [49], perceived value together with tangibles, reliability, responsiveness, empathy and assurance aspects of service quality took an important role in assessing customer satisfaction. Tam [50] suggests that if customers perceive that the value or quality of a service received exceeds the costs of obtaining that service, it will result in high satisfaction and consequently may positively affect loyalty. In the same way, Lee et al., [51], Bojanic [52] and Woodruff [53] examined the multiple dimensions of perceived value and investigated how value affects satisfaction. Some earlier studies have found that high levels of perceived value result in a similar level of customer satisfaction and purchases [54, 52]. Lai and Chen [55] report that customer perceived value has a positive impact on satisfaction: the higher the perception of value offered, the higher the satisfaction of public transport users. Therefore, next hypothesis is proposed:

**H2 Perceived value will have a positive impact on customer satisfaction**

Relationship marketing theory considers customer satisfaction as the main antecedents of long-term relationships and it is viewed as emotional reaction stemmed from any specific transaction [56]. Several studies also indicate that customer satisfaction is a robust predictor of CE. Ray et al., [57] demonstrated that members who are highly satisfied with prior interactions in their online communities are likely to be more engaged than others because they have had more positive opportunities to develop engagement.

According to the conceptual model proposed by Van Doorn et al., [8], attitudinal factors are among the most important factors affecting CE. Thus, a higher level of user satisfaction with an online game will lead to a higher level of players’ engagement toward the focal game [4]. Furthermore, once customers find that a company can meet their needs and satisfy them, then they may experience pride and confidence in the brand, believe in its integrity and have a passion towards the brand [1]. When performing an empirical research on mobile user engagement, Kim et al., (2013) [58] demonstrated that the higher the customer satisfaction, the more likely they are to engage into value creation. This kind of relations between CE and satisfaction was also maintained by the study of Dong et al., [18]. Thus the following hypothesis is proposed:

**H3 Customer satisfaction will have a positive impact on CE**

Two factor considered to be critical of the overall firm evaluation include Corporate image and reputation [59-66] due to the strength that determine in the customers’ perception and interaction when hearing the organization’s name [67-70]. Moreover, Porter [71] suggested that good reputation help organizations construct stronger relationships with the customer. Brands achieve high reputation or high levels of brand equity are likewise to engender higher levels of positive CEB [15]. However, when a failure occurs, in terms of CEB the negative fallout may be higher as well. If a brand contains relatively high brand equity or reputation fails, it may lead to a higher disproportion of disappointment [72] than a similiar brand with a lower reputation.

Available online: [http://saspjournals.com/sjebm](http://saspjournals.com/sjebm)
On the other hand, the relationship between CE and brand image displays the direction and level of reputation which the brand is in a consumer’s mind [73]. In addition, Keller [74] integrates that brand image is “the brand associations held in a consumer’s memory reflects their perception”. Additionally, as reported by Gronroos [61], corporate image is viewed as a filter which impacts the perception of the company’s operation. That means that there a positive bond between brand image and corporate reputation and customer value resulting in customer behavioral intention. A firm will have a strong CE if the brand image and reputation make customers believe that they receive high value when making deals with the company. Therefore, we presume that if perceived value is at a high level, this will consequently boost the influence of corporate reputation and brand image on CE. That explains why next hypotheses are proposed:

**H4:** Brand image moderates the relationship between perceived and CE.

**H5:** Corporate reputation moderates the relationship between perceived and CE

The relationship between CE and customer loyalty to the company would be revealed through this examination, however, it could be noticed that researchers demonstrated them in various methods. While investigating relations between CE into value creation and customer loyalty, Grissemann and Stokburger-Sauer [75] also studied the positive relationship between customer loyalty and the degree of CE into value creation. According to Banyte [10], loyal customers have intention to proper participation in a value creation. Under any circumstances, logically, when customers become loyal, they always seek for greater benefit from remaining a long-term relationship, they suggested that loyalty is positively related to CE into value creation. CE can lead to successful marketing results, including loyalty, spreading word-of-mouth, the weight of wallet, and cross-selling [5]. According to Sprott et al., [76], CE with a brand influences customer outcomes such as brand perceptions and brand attitudes and therefore have a significant impact on brand loyalty. Finally, an individual engages in a particular product is more likely to develop more favorable attitudes toward company, or brand, leading to entity loyalty [5, 25]. Referring to it, we form the following hypothesis:

**H6:** CE will have a positive impact on customer loyalty

Hence, on the platform of above discussion, the integrated conceptual framework was formed and shown in figure 1.

![Proposed Conceptual Framework](image)

**METHODS**

**Sample**

Sample testing involves people who have been served cuisines provided by different types of restaurants located in Danang city. Five males and five females were trained for the data collection. Food services users were selected as participants since food service is considered to have an “experience” value asset which can be easily perceived. The trained interviewers approached and picked up a random person in different locations. Individuals were asked if they were food service users, then they were asked which restaurant was their service provider. Only customers who currently have used food services at our target restaurants can participate in the project. The number of participants was 516.

**Measures**

We operationalize six constructs: CE, perceived value, corporate reputation, brand image, customer satisfaction and loyalty, aim to test the research model. The items for each category were conducted in English and then translated into Vietnamese for surveying. The instrument was reviewed by five Vietnamese experts to ensure that the
Vietnamese wording and content of items was appropriate.

Perceived value

Modification of the instrument for different service provider settings is supported by the developers of the instrument [32, 77, 25, 78]. Following the suggestions made by these authors, only the perceptions and not the expectations of perceived value were measured, as the measures were used to only evaluate the influence of perceived value on other constructs. This construct was measured by ten items (e.g. compared to an alternate restaurant, this restaurant supply attractive services).

Brand image

Even though there are different levels of image [61] a customer may associate with a service provider, the participants were asked to rate the restaurant image into a ranking. Food services were relatively popular in Danang at the time of the data collection, which guarantees the accuracy of the restaurant brand image ranking over measuring the overall image. The measures for brand image were developed typically for this study by the authors [79, 80]. Brand image of the restaurants was measured by having food service users respond to ten items (e.g. “This restaurant is nice”).

Customer satisfaction

The instrument to measure customer satisfaction was adopted from the work of Cronin et al., [49]; Omar et al., [42] and Walsh et al., [15]. As the original items were conducted for the store and service environment, it was necessary to adjust the items referring to food service at restaurants (e.g. this restaurant satisfies my needs).

Corporate reputation

Perception of corporate reputation was assessed using a revised versions of the “Reputation Quotient” methodology [81, 82] by using 12 items. All items were revised in order to match the restaurant’s specific situation (e.g. I believe that this restaurant is flexible).

Customer engagement

Regarding the CE scale, Vivek’s [5], Algesheimer et al.’s [83], Ashforth and Mael’s [84] and Wiertz and de Ruyter’s [85] scales have been taken into account to demonstrate this construct’s interactive nature and the importance of proactive role of the individuals. Nineteen items were used to measure this construct (e.g. this restaurant inspires me).

Customer loyalty

The index of customer loyalty is the final set of items put in this analysis. It is suggested that enhancing customer retention, or lowering the rate of customer defection, is a major key to the potential of a service provider to generate profits [86]. We used ten items (e.g. I like this restaurant more so than another restaurant) to measure this construct which scale based on research of Brodie et al., [87] and Ji and jeon [88].

All items considered in the constructs were measured by a 7-point assessment scale ranging from “strongly agree”(7) down to strongly disagree”(1).

Research model

Structural equation modeling approach was going to determine the research model in the test. AMOS 20 was used to assess the parameters and calculate the fit of the model shown in Figure-1. Regarding of Likert-scaled items, 2 different options including a path analysis with a latent variables-the common factor of the sets of item-scores and a simple path analysis of the item-sums [89] which we can choose one of them to apply for examination. As for this study, the later approach will be employed to test the proposed conceptual model. In doing so, the unidimensionality checks were compassed in the previous section satisfying the basic condition for employing the composite scores. Regarding this, Anderson and Gerbing [90] offer a composite which displays a latent factor whether meaningful if the observable measures which are placed as indicators of the construct’s latent are acceptably unidimensional.

RESULTS

Descriptive analysis provides the respondents’ demographic characteristics. After removing the invalid answers, 516 out of 555 survey responses were used to analyze. The demographic characteristics of respondents are summarized in table-1. While most of the respondents were male, accounted for 58.7% of sample size, female only capture 41.3%. Among the respondents, 26.7% were under 30 ages, 54.5% were between the ages of 30 and 40, remain 18.8% were above 40 ages. Most respondents, 76.2% chosen “popular restaurant” enjoy the meals themselves. This can be explained by their relatively low income, the majority of respondents (68.8%) have income from 3 to 8 million VND, 7.8% of the respondents have income very low (under 3 million VND).
Table-1: Demographic characteristic of respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constructs</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>58.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>41.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 30</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-40</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>54.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 40</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 3 million</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 - 8 million</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>68.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 8 million</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restaurant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Popular Res.</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>76.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxury Res.</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>23.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Measures of internal consistency were calculated and which low reliability were removed or modified accordingly. 71 items was clarified by calculation of item-to-total correlations employing the suggested cutoff .50. As summarized in table-2.

Table-2: Summary of internal consistency test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constructs</th>
<th>Before item deletion</th>
<th>After item deletion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perceived value</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brand image</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reputation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>engagement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer loyalty</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To improve the coefficient alphas and item-to-total correlations, six items across the six factors were eliminated.

The results from the analysis of model 1 (65 items) suggested that 12 items should be deleted to improve CE and loyalty. The next step was to perform a CFA using AMOS Graphic to see if the clarified 37-items instrument confirms to the data well. In the model 2, the fit indices are presented in table 3. The results indicate that Model 2 with 47 items created a rational fit of the data to the model. The fact that items were clarified from the model structure displays the melioration in the instrument with related to parsimony. The RMSEA value for model 2 (0.055) decreased, indicating improvement in the model structure. The X² value for Model 2 decreased from model 1, indicating an improvement in the model specification. Compared with the structure of model 1, in model 2, the values for PNFI (0.832), TLI (0.941), CFI (0.946) increased, while RMR value (0.067) decreased, also indicating a better fit to the data.

Table-3: Comparison of model fit indices for model 1 and 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Indication of fit for model 2</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X²/df</td>
<td>3.309</td>
<td>2.548</td>
<td>acceptable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSEA</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMR</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFI</td>
<td>0.727</td>
<td>0.864</td>
<td>Improved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFI</td>
<td>0.859</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLI</td>
<td>0.851</td>
<td>0.941</td>
<td>acceptable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFI</td>
<td>0.858</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNFI</td>
<td>0.772</td>
<td>0.832</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reliability estimates for Model 2 indicated that the coefficient alphas ranged from 0.921 to 0.962 for six domains. The six constructs all achieved the admissible alpha-level of .70 [91]. The composite reliability ranged from 0.993 to 0.996 across the six domains, which exceed the admissible level of .70 [98]. The AVE ranged from 0.933 to 0.957 across the six domains, also exceeding the acceptable AVE-level of .50 [98].

Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) was employed testing the proposed framework. The results shown that the TLI, CFI, IFI scores (0.950, 0.956 and 0.958, respectively) achieved requirement, suggesting a good fit between the structural and the date. The values of THE RMSEA, GFI were 0.050 and 0.890, respectively, indicating close to good fit. The relative Chi-square/df (2.656) was within the suggested range. These fit indices were sufficient and maintaining that the structural model reveals an appropriate date after considering sample size and could possibly be applied to explain the hypotheses in this study, which means that all fit indices were set in between the corresponding recommended assessment and the research model offers a good model fit.

A structural equation model analysis was also conducted to test the hypotheses of this study. The results are presented in Table-4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>C.R.</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>3.012</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td>0.337</td>
<td>5.696</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3</td>
<td>0.532</td>
<td>12.418</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4</td>
<td>0.235</td>
<td>5.490</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5</td>
<td>0.110</td>
<td>2.444</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>Not supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H6</td>
<td>0.456</td>
<td>10.978</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *** Statistically significant at p < 0.001

When the satisfactory model fit were obtained, the form of a null hypothesis H0 will be used to test the hypothesis where no relationship remain or is estimated to be zero. The results illustrate that all hypothetical imperative except from the pairs from perceived value, brand image and customer satisfaction to CE were positively significant. Perceived value, customer satisfaction and brand image were significant related to CE (β= 0.142, p = 0.003, β= 0.532, p <0.001 and β= 0.235, p < 0.001, respectively), hypotheses H1, H3 and H4 were supported. As expected, perceived value was also significantly related to customer satisfaction (β= 0.337, p < 0.001), hypotheses H2 was supported. In contrast to the proposed hypothesis, the result indicates that the hypothesis H6 (β= -0.110, p = 0.115) was detected to be insignificant, that means corporate reputation did not moderate the relationship between perceived value and CE. Finally, the hypothesis H6 which display that CE had positive relationship with customer loyalty was evidenced through significant coefficients at (β= 0.456, p < 0.001). The findings reveal that there are strong direct influences from perceived value and customer satisfaction on CE which leads to customer loyalty.

CONCLUSION

This research highlights the importance of the CE concept in customer loyalty creation. Moreover, Understanding what marketing constructs such as corporate reputation, perceived value, brand image and satisfaction drive customers to engage with a specific brand or corporation and what value they perceive obtaining in this competitive environment can help managers assessing their customers’ engagement

An important result of the current research is archiving the effect of CE on customer loyalty. The direct influences of perceived value and customer satisfaction on CE were comparable. The findings also revealed that the influence of customer satisfaction on CE was the highest, whereas corporate reputation did not moderate the relationship between perceived value and CE.

Limitations and future research

Limitation should be noted that the study was conducted with Vietnamese customers. By translating English items into Vietnamese, it is feasible that the meaning of some manifestations may have been unintentionally transformed. It is also achievable that there are the differences interpreting terms from one language in another language. Additional study is needed with diverse customer groups.

This research only concentrates on the moderating role of brand image and corporate reputation without judging the role of some demographic characteristics. Therefore, further research might examine the moderator influences of age, income, gender and occupation on CE.
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